

1 **Exploring the Spatial Variation in Diabetes Burden among women in India: Evidence**
2 **from a Cross-sectional Survey**
3

4
5 **Abstract**

6 **Background:** This paper analyses the spatial variation in the prevalence of diabetes among
7 women aged 35-49 years in India using data from the recent round of the National Family
8 Health Survey, 2015-16.

9 **Methods:** To draw inferences from the data age-adjusted prevalence rates were calculated
10 followed by an examination of economic inequality using the poor-rich-ratio (PRR) and
11 Wagstaff's concentration index. To examine the spatial variation in the prevalence of diabetes,
12 a series of quantile maps, univariate and bivariate LISA cluster maps were generated. Further
13 to explore the district-level diabetes prevalence among women in the country OLS and Spatial
14 Autoregressive (SAR) models were used.

15 **Results:** The findings suggest that the age-adjusted prevalence of diabetes was higher among
16 women with obesity. The prevalence of obesity among women aged 35-49 years has made a
17 significant contribution (13%) in enhancing the risk of diabetes. Additionally, a significant
18 concentration of diabetes was observed among non-poor women across their place of
19 residence, educational attainment and different regions in the country. Furthermore, there is
20 relatively larger concentration of diabetes among women in the Southern and Eastern parts of
21 the country. The spatial clustering of diabetes prevalence is affirmed with significantly high
22 values of the univariate Moran's-I ($I=0.42^{***}$) and auto-regressive coefficient (0.51, $p<0.01$)
23 accounting for the geographical pattern of measured and unmeasured independent variables.

24 **Conclusions:** These findings portray that the prevalence of diabetes among women in India is
25 significantly affected by geographic variations. Therefore, programmes and interventions to
26 lower the intensity of community-based prevalence of diabetes, especially among women in
27 their late reproductive ages, should adopt differential approach across different states/districts
28 in the context of their lifestyle, dietary pattern, working pattern and other socio-cultural
29 practices keeping levels of obesity in the central place.

Keywords: Diabetes, Hypertension, Obesity, Spatial Dependence

30 **Background**

31 In the twenty-first century, Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is one of the leading threats to human
32 health and is increasing rapidly all over the world, at an alarming rate. It is one of the most
33 common diseases that involves a range of metabolic disorders due to spike in blood sugar
34 levels. It is chronic in nature, that may be caused by absence or insufficient production of
35 insulin, or an inability of the body to properly utilise insulin. It may trigger due to genetic
36 disposition, lifestyle or dietary habits. It leads to an increased concentration of glucose in the
37 blood (hyperglycaemia), which is a symptom and not the cause of this disease. It is associated
38 with numerous health conditions like obesity, hypertension and a range of heart-related
39 ailments.

40 Today diabetes has become more prevalent than ever before. Though, it may affect people
41 of any age, those over 35 years are most affected. According to the recent estimates by
42 International Diabetes Federation (IDF), in 2016, 422 million people live with diabetes around
43 the world and this number is projected to grow to 762 million by 2030 (World Health
44 Organization, 2016) . DM, is divided into type 1 and type 2. Bodies of Individuals suffering
45 with type 1 diabetes do not produce insulin, whereas, those with type-2 diabetes do not respond
46 to insulin or do not make enough insulin (Wild, Roglic, Green, Sicree, & King, 2004). It has
47 also been estimated that 85 to 90 percent of these diabetes cases constitute type-2 diabetes,
48 mainly facing-out at the risk factors like, increasing longevity of life, overweight/obesity, rising
49 level of urbanization and changes in lifestyle.

50 Recent studies by the World Health Organization (WHO) shows that a considerable
51 number of people with diabetes originate from the low-and-middle-income countries. Existing
52 literature suggests this elevation in the incidence of Diabetes in developing countries, to be
53 contributed by the increasing urbanization, changing lifestyles which are primarily sedentary

54 in nature and have a lower amount of physical work. Additionally, the global nutrition
55 transition marked by increased intake of high-energy foods with poor nutritional composition,
56 have acted as a catalyst in further accelerating the levels (Shridhar, Rajendra, Murigendra,
57 Shridevi, & Prasad, 2015) (Mu, Xu, Hu, Wu, & Bai, 2017).

58 In recent years, diabetes has been identified as one of the leading causes of morbidity and
59 mortality influencing, not just older adults but also the young and middle-aged persons. Around
60 2.2 million deaths worldwide were attributable to high blood glucose, and the increased risks
61 of associated complications (e.g., heart disease, stroke, kidney failure), which often result in
62 premature death and these complications are often listed as the underlying cause of death
63 instead of diabetes (World Health Organization, 2016)

64 In the current health transition in India, resulting as a unification of demographic and
65 epidemiological shift, the burden on Non-Communicable Diseases (NCDs) has been projected
66 to be doubled by 2030, which is primarily due to development-oriented changes in the lifestyle
67 and dietary pattern of the population (Stephens & Sanders, 1996) (Shridhar et al., 2015) (Mu
68 et al., 2017). There has been a tremendous heterogeneity across the districts in the country,
69 which has widened significantly, after the advent of economic liberalization of 1990s.
70 Consequently, the pattern of development and lifestyle changes have not been uniform and are
71 greatly influenced by caste, class, and social groups and resulting in differential prevalence in
72 obesity, hypertension and other risk factors for diabetes (Singh, Pedgaonkar, Puri, & Gupta,
73 2018).

74 Moreover, existing literature points out the existence of a gap between the level of obesity
75 for the women who have been experiencing the post-partum period at least once and those who
76 have never undergone a conception (Khadilkar, Chiplonkar, Khadilkar, & Kajale, 2015).
77 Studies also recommend that the effect of being in post-partum period further accelerates by

78 the action of changes in the level of urbanization and dietary practices (Arabin & Stupin, 2014)
79 8]. Additionally, exploring spatial variations in the prevalence of diabetes would be helpful in
80 suggesting ways, that are essential for strengthening policy related interventions. Thus, with
81 the increasing prevalence of Diabetes in India, this paper attempts to answer research questions
82 about the nature and types of mesoscale variables affecting the prevalence of diabetes among
83 women in their late reproductive ages? How does obesity contribute to the occurrence of
84 diabetes? And, what is the nature of spatial clustering and dispersion in ecology and appearance
85 of obesity and diabetes among women in India? Given these research questions, the primary
86 objective of this paper is to study the factors correlated and spatial heterogeneity in the
87 prevalence of diabetes among women age 35-49 years across 640 districts in India.

88 **METHODS**

89 **Data Source**

90 The data is utilized from the fourth wave of the National Family Health Survey (NFHS)-4 in
91 India, which is a cross-sectional survey conducted during 2015-2016. NFHS is conducted
92 under the stewardship of the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW), Government
93 of India. The survey provides information on demographic and health indicators at the national,
94 regional, state and district levels from a nationally representative sample.

95 NFHS-4 (2015-16) collected information from a total of 601,509 households and
96 699,686 women aged between 15-49 years (International Institute for Population Sciences,
97 2017). It is for the first time that NFHS has measured population-based blood glucose levels
98 among all the interviewed women aged 15-49 years and men aged 15-54 years. The
99 information on the emerging health issues including the prevalence of diabetes for each of 640
100 districts in the country is available in the public domain (International Institute for Population
101 Sciences, 2017). However, this secondary source of data does not provide any identifiable

102 information about the respondents involved. Therefore, information regarding the patient and
103 public involvement is not included in the article. Other relevant information regarding the study
104 design and response rates in the NFHS-4 can be seen in Appendix 1 (International Institute for
105 Population Sciences, 2017).

106 The diabetes prevalence among 235,056 women aged 35-49 years has been analysed by
107 studying selected socio-economic and demographic variables, that have been conceptualized
108 as exposure variables for the prevalence of diabetes, namely, age (in years), place of residence,
109 caste group, religion, years of schooling, wealth index, number of Children Ever Born (CEB),
110 Current Pregnancy Status, Obesity, and Hypertension. Obesity and hypertension were used as
111 predictors of diabetes, and were computed using Body Mass Index (BMI) and measured
112 Diastolic (DBP) and Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) respectively. An individual falls into the
113 category of obese if, his/her, BMI is higher than or equal to 30 kg/m^2 [(International Institute
114 for Population Sciences, 2017)]. Also, the respondent was considered as hypertensive if the
115 systolic blood pressure (SBP) was $\geq 140 \text{ mmHg}$ and diastolic blood pressure (DPB) was ≥ 90
116 mmHg [(International Institute for Population Sciences, 2017)]. Furthermore, data on fasting
117 blood sugar was not collected during the survey, and therefore, a respondent was considered
118 as diabetic if the random blood sugar (RBS) level was $\geq 140 \text{ mg/dl}$ [(International Institute for
119 Population Sciences, 2017)]. It is worth mentioning, that all the aforementioned cut-offs, have
120 been derived from the accepted ranges utilized by the National Family Health Survey [10].

121 **Statistical analysis**

122 As the study aims to explore the levels, inequalities, and spatial differentials of diabetes among
123 women in age-group of 35-49 years in India. The entire analysis was therefore, divided into
124 two sets of statistical methods.

125 In the first set of statistical tools, the age-adjusted prevalence of diabetes among selected
126 women has been calculated. Additionally, in order to explore economic inequality in the
127 prevalence of diabetes the poor-rich ratio (PRR) and Wagstaff's concentration index have been
128 utilized and finally, Propensity Score Matching (PSM) using the nearest neighbourhood and
129 counterfactual methods have been used for identifying the contribution of obesity in addressing
130 the prevalence of diabetes among women aged 35-49 years. The Propensity Score Matching
131 (PSM) is an effective statistical tool to analyse the effect of treatment variables in a cross-
132 sectional data when randomized control trials are not available. As the objective is to make the
133 comparison in the prevalence of diabetes among those who are obese with those who are not
134 obese, it would have been ideal to compare these two groups when the variable under study is
135 randomly distributed in the population, and the selection process would have been independent
136 of the diabetes prevalence. However, in any multistage large-scale surveys with various layers
137 of objectives, assignment of subjects to the treatment and control groups is not random, and
138 those included in the treatment group may be different than those included in the control groups
139 in a systematic manner. Prevalence of diabetes among those who are obese may be significantly
140 affected by a large number of biological and behavioural characteristics included in the age-
141 adjusted prevalence of diabetes presented in the earlier section. Under these circumstances, the
142 estimated effects of obesity on diabetes may be biased due to the number of confounding
143 factors, and hence propensity score matching (PSM) provides the best solution to derive
144 unbiased estimate of contribution of treatment in the outcome variable by comparing results of
145 exposed (obese) and unexposed (non-obese) individuals with similar observed characteristics.

146 Based on the available literature on factors affecting diabetes among women, some predictors
147 relating to biological and behavioural characteristics were included in the matching process.
148 This was done because inclusion of a large number of predictors in the matching ensures a

149 better chance that the propensity score matching assumptions holds true [11,12]. The details of
150 the Propensity Score Matching utilized in the paper can be seen in Appendix 2 [12].

151 In the second approach, to carry out the sub-national level analysis, districts have been
152 chosen as the unit of analysis. Data from all the 640 districts in India has been derived using
153 standard scientific study design. Initially, Arc-GIS was used to generate the shape files and
154 descriptive/quantile map of diabetes prevalence across 640 districts of India. These shape files
155 were then exported to GeoDa, for conducting the exploratory spatial analysis. In the first place,
156 spatial weight, i.e. Queen's contiguity weight matrix was generated which are essential for the
157 computation of spatial autocorrelation indices. Queen's contiguity matrix, is a method of
158 calculating weights based on contiguity from polygon boundary file. It was selected to explore
159 the existence of interdependence between diabetes prevalence and the selected set of predictors
160 in the neighbouring districts. Appropriate measures like, Moran's I indices and bi-variate LISA,
161 and geo-spatial regression techniques have been used to examine the spatial dependence [13,
162 14]. A detailed description of the geo-spatial techniques utilized in the paper can be seen in
163 Appendix 3 [13].

164 The entire analysis was completed using STATA Version 15.0 (StataCorp, Texas),
165 Arc-GIS version 10.1, (Esri, California), and Geo-Da version 1.12.1.129, (Teknowledgist,
166 New York).

167 **Results**

168 The present study on the spatial variation in the prevalence of diabetes among women in their
169 late reproductive ages (35-49 years) is based on a nationally represented sample of 235,056
170 women from all 36 States/UT covering all the 640 districts of India.

171 **The burden of Diabetes by Selected Background Characteristics**

172 The burden of Diabetes by selected background characteristics is presented in [table 1](#). To
 173 calculate the burden of diabetes among women in their late reproductive ages (35-49 years)
 174 unstandardized and age-standardized prevalence of diabetes was calculated, where the
 175 standardization of age was done using the weights generated from the Census of India, 2011.

176 The results suggest that the age-adjusted prevalence of diabetes among women aged
 177 35-49 years in India was about 10.7 percent, which was higher from the unadjusted prevalence
 178 of 10.5 percent. The prevalence of diabetes was higher amongst respondent from urban areas
 179 [PR=13.15 (13.08-13.220)], belonging to socially-non-deprived group [PR=10.99 (10.94-
 180 11.04)], non-Hindu religion [PR=11.75 (11.65-11.85)], and those with ten or more years of
 181 schooling [PR=13.20 (13.11-13.30)] than their respective counterparts. Furthermore, the
 182 prevalence was found to be increasing by wealth, i.e., it was least for poorest category
 183 [PR=7.01 (6.93-7.09)] and highest for richest wealth [PR=13.46 (13.37- 13.55) group. The
 184 prevalence was highest among women with two or fewer CEB [PR=11.89 (11.82-11.95)].
 185 Women in their late reproductive ages who were obese were more likely to be diabetic than
 186 those who were non-obese [PR=20.84 (20.68-21.00)]. Also, the prevalence of diabetes was
 187 higher among women with hypertension [PR=18.89 (18.71-19.08)].

Table 1. Unstandardized and age-standardized prevalence of diabetes along with 95% C.I. among women in their late reproductive ages (35-49 years) in India, 2015-16

Covariates	Unstandardized prevalence	Age-standardized prevalence
Age (in years)		
35-39	7.76 [7.70-7.81]	
40-44	10.54 [10.47-10.61]	
45-49	13.75 [13.67-13.83]	
Place of Residence		
Rural	9.16 [9.11-9.20]	9.28 [9.24-9.33]
Urban	12.88 [12.81-12.95]	13.15 [13.08- 13.22]
Caste		
Socially Deprived	9.48 [9.41-9.55]	9.62 [9.55- 9.69]
Socially Non-Deprived	10.81 [10.76-10.86]	10.99 [10.94-11.04]
Religion		
Hindu	10.26 [10.22-10.31]	10.42 [10.38-10.46]
Non-Hindu	11.48 [11.39-11.58]	11.75 [11.65-11.85]
Years of Schooling		
0-9	10.03 [9.98-10.07]	10.08 [10.04-10.12]
10 or more	12.23 [12.13-12.32]	13.20 [13.11-13.30]

Wealth Index		
Poorest	6.85 [6.77-6.93]	7.01 [6.93-7.09]
Poorer	8.44 [8.35-8.52]	8.59 [8.51-8.68]
Middle	9.86 [9.77- 9.94]	9.97 [9.88- 10.05]
Richer	12.78 [12.69-12.87]	12.95 [12.86-13.05]
Richest	13.34 [13.25-13.44]	13.46 [13.37- 13.55]
Number of children ever born		
At most 2	11.35 [11.29-11.41]	11.89 [11.82-11.95]
More than 2	9.83 [9.78-9.88]	9.79 [9.74-9.84]
Current Pregnancy Status		
Yes	4.31 [3.95-4.71]	4.66 [4.07-5.33]
No	10.51 [10.47-10.55]	10.67 [10.63-10.71]
Obesity		
Yes	20.89 [20.73-21.05]	20.84 [20.68-21.00]
No	9.30 [9.27-9.35]	9.47 [9.43-9.51]
Hypertension		
Yes	19.64 [19.46-19.83]	18.89 [18.71-19.08]
No	9.10 [9.06-9.14]	9.34 [9.30-9.39]
Total	10.48 [10.44-10.52]	10.65 [10.62-10.69]

188 Age-adjusted prevalence of diabetes across different States/UTs is presented in [Figure 1](#).
189 Results portray that all the Southern states, along with Odisha, and West Bengal have
190 substantially higher prevalence of diabetes. On the other hand, Haryana, Bihar, Assam,
191 Meghalaya, Punjab, Gujarat, and Maharashtra states had a lower age-adjusted prevalence of
192 diabetes than the national average.

193 **[Figure 1.** Age-adjusted prevalence of diabetes among women in their late reproductive ages (35-49 years)
194 across different States/UT in India, 2015-16.]

195 **Inequalities in the Diabetes Burden**

196 In order to identify the inequalities in the burden of diabetes, two indicators, namely, poor-
197 rich-ratio (PRR) and Wagstaff's concentration index have been utilized to draw inferences
198 from the data. It is apparent from the results in [table 2a](#) that there is a massive gap in the
199 prevalence of diabetes across poor and non-poor with a relatively larger concentration among
200 non-poor, which holds even across different categories of the place of residence, educational
201 attainment of women and various regions in the country. However, the disparity in the
202 prevalence of diabetes is the highest in the Eastern and Southern region of the country and also
203 among the women who have not completed ten years of schooling. These findings are also
204 affirmed with the concentration index concerning the place of residence, years of education

205 and region of residence. The results depict that in all sub-groups of the selected predictors a
 206 positive value of concentration index exists, which means that the prevalence of diabetes is
 207 higher for the women belonging to well-off households in all the selected sub-groups.
 208 However, the value to concentration Index was found to be higher among women from
 209 Southern and Eastern regions.

Table 2a. Poor Rich Ratio and Concentration Index in prevalence of diabetes among women in late reproductive ages (35-49 years) in India, 2015-16

Variable	Prevalence of diabetes			Concentration Index (CI)	SE (CI)
	Poor	Rich	Poor/Rich Ratio		
Residence				0.1343***	0.004
Urban	10.05	13.08	0.77	0.0509***	0.005
Rural	8.05	11.61	0.69	0.1179***	0.005
Years of Schooling				0.1343***	0.004
0-9 Years	8.24	12.79	0.64	0.144***	0.004
10 or more years	9.22	11.90	0.77	0.043***	0.007
Region				0.1343***	0.004
Northern	6.69	10.15	0.66	0.1118***	0.009
North-eastern	9.30	12.90	0.72	0.1400***	0.009
Central	7.84	12.11	0.65	0.1296***	0.007
Eastern	8.42	13.55	0.62	0.1594***	0.008
Western	7.77	11.36	0.68	0.1157***	0.012
Southern	9.76	15.65	0.62	0.1304***	0.008

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

210 **Contribution of Obesity in the Prevalence of Diabetes among Women**

211
 212 The variables which affect obesity as well as diabetes, but are not influenced by the prevalence
 213 of diabetes have been included in the model and results are presented in [table 2b](#). A comparison
 214 of the prevalence of diabetes among women who are obese and non-obese shows that the
 215 likelihood of developing diabetes was higher amongst the women who have obesity. For
 216 instance, before matching, the prevalence of Diabetes among women aged 35-49 years and had
 217 obesity was 20 percent, and for the non-obese group of women, it was 9 percent only. After
 218 using the PSM with the counter-factual approach, there is a significant reduction in the
 219 estimated prevalence of diabetes as the average treatment effect among those who were treated,
 220 i.e., ATT= 20 percent reduced to 14 percent, if they would not have been obese. Similarly, the
 221 average treatment effect among untreated (ATU) portrays that the prevalence of diabetes

222 among those who were non-obese would have been increased from 9 percent to 22 percent if
 223 they would have been obese. The average treatment effect (ATE) shows the difference in the
 224 prevalence of diabetes among obese and non-obese women in their late reproductive ages after
 225 matching using the nearest neighbourhood method, is 13 percent.

Table 2b. Estimated effect of Obesity on Prevalence of Diabetes among women in their late reproductive ages (35-49 years) in India using Propensity Score Matching Approach, NFHS-4, 2015-16

Sample	Obese	Non-Obese	Difference	S.E.	T-Statistics
Unmatched	0.20	0.09	0.12	0.002	48.59
ATT	0.20	0.07	0.14	0.052	2.60
ATU	0.09	0.22	0.13		
ATE			0.13		

226
 227 **Spatial Variation in the prevalence of Diabetes**

228
 229 The spatial heterogeneity in the prevalence of diabetes among women in their late reproductive
 230 ages has dual evidence from quintile map as well as univariate LISA map, presented in [Figure](#)
 231 [2](#). It is evident from the quintile map that 254 districts in the country have a very high level of
 232 diabetes prevalence (greater than 10.7%) among women in their late reproductive ages.
 233 Another 130 districts have a moderately high prevalence of diabetes ranging from 8.7 to 10.6
 234 percent. Most of these districts are located in the Southern and Eastern parts of the country.
 235 Findings suggest striking geographic clustering (Moran's $I=0.42$, $p\text{-value}=0.001$) of higher
 236 diabetes prevalence in the Southern and Eastern parts of the country, On the other hand, there
 237 were regions with substantially lower diabetes prevalence rates in some parts of Central India.

238
 239 [Figure 2. Quintile map and univariate LISA (cluster and significance) maps depicting spatial clustering and
 240 spatial outliers of diabetes across 640 districts of India, 2015-16.]

241
 242 **Bivariate LISA Results**

243 Bivariate LISA examines the spatial relationship between the exposure and the outcome
 244 variable for the 640 districts of the country. The LISA results addressed a pertinent question-
 245 whether the geographic regions which were privileged had a higher prevalence of diabetes?

246 Six maps presented in [Figure 3](#) portrays a significant spatial auto-correlation between diabetes
 247 prevalence and selected characteristics of women’s background. Findings portray a significant
 248 spatial auto-correlation between diabetes prevalence and women’s residing in urban areas with
 249 hotspots in 33 districts. Similarly, a total of 91 districts have emerged as the hotspots for
 250 diabetes where women had two or less CEB. Most of these districts are located in the Southern
 251 part of the country baring a few that are located in Maharashtra, Karnataka, and Odisha. Other
 252 prominent markers of higher spatial auto-correlation with the prevalence of diabetes among
 253 women are obesity (73 districts), and those belonged to rich wealth quintile (66 districts).

254 **[Figure 3.** Bivariate LISA Cluster maps depicting spatial clustering and spatial outliers of Diabetes Prevalence
 255 among women aged 35- 49 years by selected background characteristics across 640 districts of India, 2015-16.]

256
 257 Further, the values of Moran’s-I results presented in [table 3](#) provide quantitative evidence that
 258 the districts that had a higher proportion of urban areas were more likely to record higher
 259 prevalence rates of diabetes. However, the value of bivariate Moran’s I is highest with respect
 260 to the proportion of women aged 35-49 years having two or less CEB (Moran’s I =0.38, p<
 261 0.001), having obesity (Moran’s I= 0.27, p<0.001), coming from economically better-off
 262 households (Moran’s I=0.22, p<0.001), living in urban areas (Moran’s I =0.19, p<0.001) and
 263 had hypertension (Moran’s I =0.14, p<0.001).

Table 3. Bivariate Moran’s I statistics for diabetes prevalence by selected background characteristic in India, 2015-16.				
Variables	Moran's I	P<0.05	P<0.01	P<0.001
Urban	0.19***	88	48	27
Non-SC/ST	0.02	96	58	39
Non-Hindu	0.14	110	79	74
Ten years of schooling or more	0.07***	87	79	42

Women having two or less CEB	0.38***	80	77	113
Obesity women	0.27***	140	85	46
Rich	0.22***	106	84	80
Hypertension	0.14***	81	64	48

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

264

265 **Spatial Correlates of diabetes burden**

266 Results of the spatial-error model on spatial dependence of diabetes on proximate determinants
267 and other meso-variables are presented in [table 4](#). The auto-regressive coefficient (λ) is
268 0.51, indicating a significantly higher spatial clustering in the diabetes prevalence which is
269 accounted for the geographical pattern of measured and unmeasured independent variables.
270 The findings portray that in the prevalence of diabetes among women aged 35-49 years in India
271 is significantly affected by space. Being Obese ($BMI \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$), hypertensive, and having
272 two or less number of children ever born (CEB) were the key predictors significantly
273 explaining the spatial dependence in the prevalence of diabetes among women age 35-49 years
274 in India. It is worth emphasizing that the inclusion of spatial weights in the model has increased
275 the predicting power of the model from 33 percent in case of OLS to 46 percent in the spatial
276 regression model, indicating spatial clustering in the prevalence of diabetes among women
277 aged 35-49 years in the country.

Table 4. OLS, Spatial Error and Spatial Lag model to assess the association between Diabetes and selected background variables among women aged 35-49 years, India, 2015-16

Variable	Spatial OLS Model	LM Spatial error
Urban	0.029***	0.019*
Non-SC/ST	0.008***	0.009
Non-Hindu	0.007	0.013
Ten or more years of schooling	0.026	0.012
Women with CEB less than two	0.056***	0.034**
Obesity	0.238***	0.221***
Rich	-0.044***	-0.014
Hypertension	0.115***	0.106***
Constant	4.441***	4.759***
Number of Observations	640	640
Log likelihood	-1606.030	-1507.03***
AIC	3230.070	3129.470
R square	0.330	0.460
Lag Coefficient(Lambda)		0.509***

Breusch-Pagen test	40.737***	62.581***
Likelihood ratio test		100.603***

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

278

279 **Discussion**

280 In the existing epidemiological transition in India, especially after the economic liberalization
 281 in the early 1990's, the burden of NCDs with the dominance of diabetes has been projected to
 282 be doubled by 2030 [15]. Development-oriented changes in the lifestyle of people, increasing
 283 urbanization, economic prosperity and dietary practices have been considered as the major
 284 correlates of the increasing prevalence of diabetes. However, the pattern of development and
 285 lifestyle changes have not been uniform across the country and vary to a large extent by caste,
 286 class, and social groups and resulting in differential prevalence in obesity, hypertension and
 287 other risk factors for diabetes [(Singh et al., 2018)].

288 Therefore, an attempt has been made in this study to analyse the burden, and inequality
 289 in the prevalence of diabetes which is systematically higher in specific sub-populations. This
 290 issue is vital, as the diabetes control in any population has a dual challenge of reducing the
 291 levels as well as inequalities across different sub-population. Monitoring and tackling
 292 disparities in diabetes and increased risks of associated complications like cardiovascular
 293 disease, stroke, kidney failure, etc., between socio-economic groups within countries has
 294 become an increasingly important objective for health interventions.

295 Additionally, the analysis in this paper has been organized to focus on the correlates and
 296 spatial heterogeneity in the prevalence of diabetes among women aged 35-49 years across 640
 297 districts in India using a nationally representative sample of 235,056 women from all 36
 298 States/Union Territories (UT). Socio-economic and cultural heterogeneity in different regions
 299 of the country is expected to result in differential lifestyle, which works as a catalyst in
 300 intensifying the pace of epidemiological transition with the ongoing age-structural transition.

301 Results portray that the prevalence of diabetes among women in their late reproductive
302 ages was highest among those with two or fewer children ever born, who are more likely to be
303 educated, belonging to economically prosperous households, living in urban areas and hence
304 enjoying changing lifestyle with modernization, increased access to high energy (refined and
305 processed) food and development. Findings clearly portray a higher prevalence of diabetes
306 among urban women in India, who are one and a half times more likely to suffer from diabetes
307 than their rural counterparts. These results are similar to the findings of Deshpande et al. (2008)
308 and Ramachandran et al. (2012) based on data from South Asian countries that have pointed
309 the increased diabetes risk was related with age, ethnicity, physical inactivity, obesity, and
310 family history of diabetes [(Deshpande, Harris-Hayes, & Schootman, 2008)17]. The urban-
311 rural divide in the prevalence of diabetes is narrowing as urbanization is spreading widely, and
312 is adversely affecting the lifestyle of populations [18].

313 Women in their late reproductive ages who were obese were more likely to be diabetic
314 than those who were non-obese. Application of PSM with a non-randomized community-based
315 cross-sectional data brings out a statistically significant contribution of obesity in the
316 prevalence of diabetes. This may be primarily because increasing obesity creates metabolic
317 disturbances associated with insulin resistance which occurs when beta cells of the pancreas
318 do not produce and utilize sufficient insulin, a similar finding of Park et al., 1995 on their study
319 in South Korea. Keen et al., 1979 identified obesity as a primary risk factor for diabetes about
320 four decades back and highlighted that among obese women, even moderate changes in pre-
321 pregnancy weight could affect the risk of gestational diabetes. Therefore, all the programmes
322 and services to address NCDs among women in their reproductive ages should prioritize
323 addressing overweight and obesity among women to reduce the risk of diabetes [18].
324 Consistent with the theoretical premises and findings from other studies in the region [18],
325 hypertension has been another co-morbidity of diabetes among women in India as the

326 prevalence of diabetes was higher among women with hypertension. Imam and Hossain (2012)
327 also observed that diabetes is more common among those persons who have sedentary lifestyle
328 i.e. are mostly physically inactive, having high blood pressure and excess body weight. This
329 complete picture is prominent in the urban areas compared to the rural areas [19].

330 Findings of this study portray relatively larger concentration of diabetes among women in
331 the Southern and Eastern parts of the country, which are traditionally and culturally known as
332 rice eating areas [20, 21] (“[Harvard Study: Eating White Rice Increases Risk Of Type 2 Diabetes](#)
333 [| Asian Scientist Magazine | Science, technology and medical news updates from Asia,](#)” 2012).
334 The clustering in diabetes prevalence is also affirmed with significantly higher values of
335 Moran’s I and auto-regressive coefficient accounting for the geographical pattern of measured
336 and unmeasured independent variables. The findings portray that in the prevalence of diabetes
337 among women aged 35-49 years in India, is significantly affected by space. Being Obese
338 ($BMI \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$), hypertensive, and having two or less number of children ever born (CEB)
339 were the key predictors significantly explaining the spatial dependence in the prevalence of
340 diabetes among women aged 35-49 years in India. Among all the predictors included in the
341 spatial model the chance of suffering from diabetes is increasing with the highest pace with
342 increasing obesity and hence creates an startling situation.

343

344 **Conclusions**

345 These findings indicate that increasing obesity among women aged 35-49 years needs to be
346 prioritized as part of promoting healthy lifestyle through physical exercise and a salubrious
347 dietary practices. As a larger share of diabetes in India consists of Type-2 diabetes, which can
348 be prevented or delayed through lifestyle interventions. Many international randomized
349 controlled trials have confirmed that we can stop the progression from pre-diabetes to type-2
350 diabetes by using planned lifestyle behaviour change programs [24]. Therefore, programmes

351 and interventions to lower the intensity of community-based prevalence of diabetes, especially
352 among women in their late reproductive ages, should adopt differential approach across
353 different states/ districts in the context their lifestyle, dietary pattern, working pattern and other
354 socio-cultural practices keeping levels of obesity in the central place.

355

356 **List of abbreviations**

357 **BMI:** Body Mass Index

358 **DBP:** Diastolic Blood Pressure

359 **NCD:** Non-Communicable Diseases

360 **NFHS:** National Family Health Survey

361 **PSM:** Propensity Score Matching

362 **SBP:** Systolic Blood Pressure

363 **Declarations**

364 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

365 The secondary data used for the study does not contain any identifiable information on the
366 study subjects. Thus, no ethical approval was required.

367 **Consent for publication**

368 Not applicable

369 **Availability of data and material**

370 The data utilized for the study is taken from a secondary source of data, which is freely
371 available online. In order to obtain the data, following link can be used

372 <https://www.dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm>.

373 **Competing Interests**

374 Authors declare no competing interest.

375 **Funding**

376 No funds were received for conducting this research work.

377 **Authors' Contributors**

378 SKS conceived the idea and conceptualized the research problem, SKS and PP contributed to
379 the statistical analysis, interpretation of the results, drafting and editing of the final manuscript.
380 All the authors read and approved the final manuscript. Additionally, all the authors have
381 reviewed, discussed, and agreed to their individual contributions.

382 **Acknowledgements**

383 The Authors are thankful to all the co-ordinators of National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4),
384 2015-16 for their relentless support in providing community based estimates at National and
385 Sub-national levels. Also, the authors are thankful to Dr. Nidhi Gupta for providing Bio-social
386 aspects to the manuscript.

387 **References**

- 388 Arabin, B., & Stupin, J. H. (2014). Overweight and obesity before, during and after
389 pregnancy. *Geburtshilfe Und Frauenheilkunde*. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1368462>
- 390 Deshpande, A. D., Harris-Hayes, M., & Schootman, M. (2008). Epidemiology of Diabetes
391 and Diabetes-Related Complications. *Physical Therapy*.
392 <https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20080020>
- 393 Harvard Study: Eating White Rice Increases Risk Of Type 2 Diabetes | Asian Scientist
394 Magazine | Science, technology and medical news updates from Asia. (2012). Retrieved
395 October 24, 2018, from <https://www.asianscientist.com/2012/03/health/white-rice-associated-with-type-2-diabetes-risk-in-asian-populations-2012/>
- 396
- 397 International Institute for Population Sciences. (2017). *National Family Health Survey*
398 *(NFHS-4)*. Retrieved from <http://rchiips.org/NFHS/NFHS-4Reports/India.pdf>
- 399 Khadilkar, A., Chiplokar, S., Khadilkar, V., & Kajale, N. (2015). Changes in body
400 composition in apparently healthy urban Indian women up to 3 years postpartum. *Indian*

401 *Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism*. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210.159032>

402 Mu, M., Xu, L.-F., Hu, D., Wu, J., & Bai, M.-J. (2017). Dietary Patterns and

403 Overweight/Obesity: A Review Article. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, 46(7), 869–

404 876. Retrieved from

405 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28845396><http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov>

406 </articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC5563867><http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28845>

407 <396><http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC5563867>

408 Shridhar, G., Rajendra, N., Murigendra, H., Shridevi, P., & Prasad, M. (2015). Modern Diet

409 and its Impact on Human Health. *Journal of Nutrition & Food Sciences*, 05(06), 9–11.

410 <https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9600.1000430>

411 Singh, S. K., Pedgaonkar, S., Puri, P., & Gupta, J. (2018). Diabetes-free life in India:

412 Application of survival function analysis. *J Soc Health Diabetes*, 6, 48–55.

413 https://doi.org/10.4103/joshd.J_Soc_Health_

414 Stephens, H. M., & Sanders, R. (1996). Approaches in type 1 diabetes research: A status

415 report.

416 Wild, S., Roglic, G., Green, A., Sicree, R., & King, H. (2004). Global Prevalence of

417 Diabetes: Estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care*, 27(5),

418 1047–1053. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.27.5.1047>

419 World Health Organization. (2016). Global Report on Diabetes. *Isbn*, 978, 88.

420 <https://doi.org/ISBN 978 92 4 156525 7>

421