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## Abstract (250 words max)

Demographers mostly use the perspective of women when they analyse research questions related to fertility, parity or life-course events.

The aim of this paper is very simple: to illustrate the usefulness of parallel perspectives of different 'stakeholders', when we describe demographic events related to childbearing. We can look at a demographic phenomenon as childbearing not only from the perspective of woman, but from the perspective of the child or from the father; grandparent, usw. A child cohort study with strong demographic roots - especially when it starts before the birth, as the Cohort ' 18 - Growing Up In Hungary Study - gives an excellent opportunity for applying and demonstrating these 'different but parallel' perspectives.

Sometimes the results are similar regardless of the point of view. However, we can find remarkable differences too. For example in the cases of 'third children' the chance that a 'third child' (using the term from mothers perspective) have more than two siblings is $18 \%$. (Only the full and half-siblings, without step-siblings!). In this situation the chance to have 'only' two classical siblings is only $64 \%$. The picture is different again, when we use the point of view of the biological father: $10 \%$ of 'third child' is a first child of his/her father and $11 \%$ is a second one. Finally, the data are different again from the perspective of the 'family': $16 \%$ of each 'third child' is the first common child of her/his parents.

The use of parallel perspectives can give new impetus to demographic research.

## Extended Abstract (2-4 pages)

Demographers mostly use the perspective of women when they analyse research questions related to fertility, parity or life-course events.

Using the term of 'Family size' in demography is an exaggerated example of this. When demographers or even the BBC speak about family size, it is not rare, that they speak about the number of children of mothers. (Blake 1989; Livingston 2017; Brée 2017; BBC 2018)

The aim of this paper is very simple: to illustrate the usefulness of parallel perspectives of different 'stakeholders', when we describe demographic events related to childbearing. We can look at a demographic phenomenon as childbearing not only from the perspective of woman, but from the perspective of the child or from the father; grandparent, usw.

Of course, using the male's (Dudel-Klüsener 2016; Nordfalk et al 2015; Petren 2017) or the child's perspective (Bernstein 1997; Cancian et al 2011) in the demography is not a novelty. However, when these analyses change the perspective, they replace the mother's perspective with the father's or child's one, but don't use parallelly them.

A child cohort study with strong demographic roots - especially when it starts before the birth, as the Cohort '18 - Growing Up In Hungary Study (about the study: Veroszta 2019) - gives an excellent
opportunity for applying and demonstrating these 'different but parallel' perspectives. With this dataset we can describe demographic events around the childbirth not only from the perspective of mothers but from the perspective of the new-born babies or from the perspective of the biological fathers.

Sometimes the results are similar regardless of the point of view. (Example 1) However, we can find remarkable differences too. (Example 2. 3.)

Some examples:

1. Partnership status at childbirth (from the perspective of the biological mother vs biological father)

The partnership status of the mother (in cohabiting partnership or not or without any partner) strongly correlates with the presence of the baby's biological father - at least in Hungary. The 7th months pregnant women live together with a person who is not the biological father of the newborn baby only in a very few cases. (In the Cohort '18-Growing Up In Hungary Study the mothers were interviewed firstly at the 7th months of their pregnancy. In this text we describe this moment in a simplistic way, as 'the time of birth'.) That is, if a mother has a cohabiting partner at the time of birth, it is very likely that the new-born baby has co-resident father as well.
2. Number of children / siblings (from the perspective of the mother vs. child vs. father vs. 'family')

The decreasing fertility, the decreasing family size among mothers in Hungary increases the chance that someone will be born as his/her mother's first child, without any sibling (1990: 44\%; 2019: 47\%). But from the child's perspective, the increasing complexity of families improves their chance of being a half-sibling. Today, $11 \%$ of the first-born children in Hungary have already at least one sibling at the time of birth: a paternal half-sibling. (see Tab 1)

Table 1. Family size among mothers and number of (full- or half-)siblings of the new born baby

| from the perspective of mother: number of children (alive) | from the baby's perspective: number of siblings (full or half) |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $5+$ |  |
| 1 | 89\% | 6\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| 2 | - | 88\% | 8\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ \mathrm{n}: 2768 \end{array}$ |
| 3 | - |  | 82\% | 8\% | 6\% | 4\% | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ \mathrm{n}: 1161 \end{array}$ |
| 4+ |  |  |  | 45\% | 19\% | 36\% | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ \mathrm{n}: 567 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 42\% | 32\% | 15\% | 5\% | 3\% | 2\% | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ \mathrm{n}: 8409 \end{array}$ |

Source: Cohort '18 - Growing Up In Hungary, 1st wave: period of pregnancy, child sample N=8,409
The chance to have more than two siblings is $18 \%$ when it comes to the perspective of a third baby. (Only the full and half-siblings, without step-siblings!). In this situation the chance to have 'only' two classical siblings is only 64\% (see Tab 2).

Table 2. Family size among mothers and type of siblings of the new-born baby

|  | from the baby's perspective: type of siblings | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| from the perspective of mother: number of children (alive) | no sibling | only uterinesibling(s) | only halfsibling(s) - the father is common) | half-sibling (both type) but no fullsibling(s) | only fullsibling(s) | full and halfsiblings too |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 89\% | - | 11\% | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ \mathrm{n}: 3193 \end{array}$ |
| 2 | - | 12\% | - | 4\% | 76\% | 8\% | $100 \%$ n. 2768 |
| 3 | - | 10\% | - | 6\% | 64\% | 20\% | $\begin{gathered} n: 2 / 68 \\ 100 \% \\ \mathrm{n}: 1161 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4+ | - | 11\% | - | 7\% | 45\% | 37\% | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ \text { n: } 567 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 42\% | 6\% | 5\% | 3\% | 37\% | 8\% | 100\% |

Source: Cohort '18 - Growing Up In Hungary, 1st wave: period of pregnancy, child sample N=8,409
The picture is different again, when we use the point of view of the biological father: $10 \%$ of 'third child' is a first child of his/her father and $11 \%$ is a second one. Finally, the data are different again from the perspective of the 'family': $16 \%$ of each 'third child' is the first common child of her/his parents.

Figure 1.a "Third children" - from the perspective of biological father
Figure 1.b "Third children' - from the perspective of the 'family' (Number of common children)


Source: Cohort '18 - Growing Up In Hungary, 1st wave: period of pregnancy, child sample N=1,161
3. Partnership pathways to the first child (from the perspective of the 'would be' biological mother vs biological father)

The database also provides an opportunity to illustrate that men and women in which partnership of their lives, after how long time (spent together or independently) have their first child.The results show that motherhood typically occurs at a younger age than fatherhood. (It is not a novelty.) However, becoming a mother typically occurs after a longer and more complex partnership history than becoming a father.

Some lessons:

In the last years life-course researches and especially the multiprocess analysis is more and more popular in interpreting demographic processes. (pl. Kulu - Steele 2013; Guzzo 2017; Mikolai - Kulu 2018; Rutigliano - Esping-Andersen 2018) In these analysis researchers investigate the relationships
and interactions of more then one demographic event (eg. childbearing, housing changes) in a person's life.

The use of parallel perspectives can give new impetus to demographic research in other ways. In these cases, we hope to gain a better understanding of a demographic event by examining and interpreting it from the perspective of more than one person. When using parallel perspectives, the linked lives approach (Settersten 2015) could be useful as a theoretical framework.
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